



EMBARGO: 00:01 UK TIME, 27 APRIL 2015

Transparency International UK finds 33% of defence companies improved the transparency and quality of their anti-corruption programmes, but two-thirds don't make the grade

Anti-corruption group calls on governments to require that contractors have ethics and anti-corruption programmes in place before bidding for defence work, to bring greater accountability

London, 27 April 2015 | New res earch by Transparency International UK today showed that 33% of companies studied in their *Defence Companies Anti-Corruption Index 2015* have improved their ethics and anti-corruption programmes since 2012, but the industry as a whole still has a long way to go.

The *Defence Companies Anti-Corruption Index 2015* measures the transparency and quality of ethics and anti-corruption programmes of 163 defence companies from 47 countries. Each company is ranked from band A (highest) to F (lowest) using publicly available information. **Forty-two companies improved** by one or more bands since 2012. A further third also showed some improvement.

"Corruption in defence affects us all. It is not just about commissions on sales—corruption can also directly threaten the lives of citizens and soldiers," said Mark Pyman, Director of the Transparency International UK Defence and Security Programme. "Companies that have improved are taking the lead in bringing transparency to this often-secretive sector."

Companies from Brazil, Finland, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Norway, South Korea, South Africa, Spain, Turkey, the UK and the US improved by a band or more.

Nearly a quarter of companies show no evidence of anti-corruption programmes at all

Katie Fish, author of the report, said that "There's still a long way to go. Two-thirds of the defence contractors in this study, which includes 36 more companies than the 2012 analysis, show little evidence of having ethics and anti-corruption programmes in place. This includes companies from most of the major arms producing countries".

Based on public information,

- Only 8 companies have evidence of whistleblowing mechanisms that encourage reporting
- Just 13 companies conduct regular due diligence on agents
- Only three companies have evidence that they have detailed procedures to avoid corruption in offset contracts (also known as counter-trade), a high-risk area.

Governments should require anti-corruption programmes from bidding companies

Transparency International UK called for procurement chiefs in **importing governments worldwide to demand robust anti-corruption standards of defence companies**. "If government contracts are contingent on companies having an appropriate ethics and anti-corruption programme in place, it will create a step change towards greater accountability in the defence industry, and further the positive work being done by many defence companies today," said Pyman.

Transparency International UK also called on governments to require that bidding companies publish their detailed offset obligations and performance assessments.

Investors too are part of the solution

"Corruption can mean major reputational and financial damage," said Fish. "Investors should use this report to ask: do the companies we invest in have high-quality anti-corruption programmes in place?"

-ends-

Press contact: Leah Wawro, + 44 (0)20 7922 7973 (office) +44 (0)78 9421 9638 (mobile), leah.wawro@transparency.org.uk

Notes for editors

163 defence companies from 47 countries were assessed using publicly available information relating to their ethics and anti-corruption programmes. TI-UK used a guestionnaire of 41 indicators. Based on their assessment companies were placed in one of six bands, A to F.

TI-UK also reviews internal company information. 63 companies provided internal information in 2015. TI-UK reviewed and discussed the internal documents provided with companies.

About the TI Defence & Security Programme: TI-DSP works to build integrity and reduce corruption in defence and security establishments worldwide by supporting anti-corruption reforms in defence establishments and companies, and raising integrity in arms transfers. The programme is led by Transparency International UK (TI-UK) on behalf of the TI movement. For more information visit www.tidefence.org.

Mitsubishi Heavy

MTU Aero Engines

Industries

Navistar

Otokar

Results based on publicly available information

GKN

GE Aviation

Honevwell

Harris Corporation

Fluor Corporation Lockheed Martin Ravtheon B (23 companies) Accenture Airbus Group Babcock **BAE Systems** Cobham CSC Exelis Inc. Finmeccanica Fujitsu GenCorp Hewlett-Packard KBR Meggitt Northrop Grumman QinetiQ Rafael Advanced Defense Systems Rockwell Collins **Rolls-Royce** Serco Group Thales ThyssenKrupp AG Ultra Electronics United Technologies

A (4 companies)

Bechtel

C (29 companies)

ATK Boeing Booz Allen Hamilton Chemring Daewoo Shipbuilding & FLIR Systems Marine Engineering Day & Zimmermann **DynCorp International** Elbit Systems Embraer Fincantieri **General Dynamics**

Israel Aerospace Industries Jacobs Engineering Kongsberg L-3 Communications Leidos Mission Essential Nammo **NEC** Corporation Oshkosh Patria Saab SAIC Textron URS D (31 companies) Alion Science and Technology Avibras Indústria Aeroespacial Avio **Ball Aerospace &** Technologies Corp. CACI CAE Cubic Curtiss-Wright Corporation DCNS Denel **Diehl Stiftung** Esterline Technologies Navantia Hindustan Aeronautics Huntington Ingalls Industries Indra ManTech MBDA Missile Systems AAL

Mitsubishi Electric

Precision Castparts Corp. Rheinmetall Safran Samsung Techwin Teledyne Technologies Aviation Industry Toshiba **Triumph Group** VSE E (19 companies) AAR The Aerospace Corporation AIDC AM General Aselsan Bharat Earth Movers Limited **Bharat Electronics** Limited Doosan DST Gorky Automobile Plant Israel Military Industries Itochu Kawasaki Heavv Industries LIG Nex1 Mooa **RTI Systems** RUAG Sumitomo Corporation Zodiac Aerospace F (57 companies)

Abu Dhabi Ship Building Advanced Electronics Company Almaz-Antev Antonov Arab Organization for Industrialization Arsenal ASC Corporation of China Battelle **BelTechExport** Company Boustead Naval Shipyard **CEA** Technologies China Shipbuilding Industry Corporation Damen Schelde Naval Shipbuilding Dassault Aviation FAdeA **General Atomics** Heavy Industries Taxila Terma Herstal Group Hirternberger Group Igman d.d. Konjic Indian Ordnance Factories Iran Electronics Industries Irkut Corporation Japan Marine United **KBP** Instrument Design Bureau Kharkov State Aircraft Manufacturing Company King Abdullah II Design and Development Bureau Korea Aerospace Industries

Krauss-Maffei Wegmann M.C. Dean The MITRE Corporation Nexter Group NORINCO Pakistan Ordnance Factories Polish Defence Holding Poongsan Corporation PT Dirgantara Indonesia **RSK MiG Russian Helicopters** Sapura Secured Technologies SATUMA SC Uzina Mecanica Cugir SA SRA International SRC, Inc ST Engineering Sukhoi Tatra Trucks Topaz Tactical Missiles Corporation Turkish Aerospace Industries United Engine Corporation Uralvagonzavod Wyle Zastava Arms

Band A : Extensive evidence Band B: Good Band C: Moderate Band D: Limited Band E: Very Limited Band F: Little or no